

6/27/08
FILED
 JUN 27 2008
 RICHARD W. WIEKING
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COMPLAINT BY A PRISONER UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, 42 U.S.C §§ 1983

Name ROSENBAUM VINCENT L.
 (Last) (First) (Initial)

Prisoner Number 2069375

Institutional Address 2100 NAPA VALLEJO HIGHWAY
NAPA, CALIFORNIA 94558

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VINCENT ROSENBAUM
 (Enter the full name of plaintiff in this action.)

vs.

DOCTOR DOUGLAS ROSOFF
(SHERIFF) THOMAS ALLMAN
ED FOULK
 (Enter the full name of the defendant(s) in this action.)

Case No. CV 08 3128
 (To be provided by the Clerk of Court)

COMPLAINT UNDER THE
 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT,
 Title 42 U.S.C § 1983

U.S.C. 28 1915(g)

E-filing

(PR)

[All questions on this complaint form must be answered in order for your action to proceed..]

I. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies.

[Note: You must exhaust your administrative remedies before your claim can go forward. The court will dismiss any unexhausted claims.]

A. Place of present confinement NAPA State Hospital

B. Is there a grievance procedure in this institution?

YES (✓) NO ()

C. Did you present the facts in your complaint for review through the grievance procedure?

YES () NO (✓)

D. If your answer is YES, list the appeal number and the date and result of the appeal at each level of review. If you did not pursue a certain level of appeal, explain why. DID NOT HAPPEN IN THIS
INSTITUTION

COMPLAINT

08-328 SI

1. Informal appeal JUDGES IN MENDOCINO
COUNTY REFUSED ME THE OPPORTUNITY
TO PUT ROSEFF ON THE STAND 12/21/06
2. First formal level STATE MEDICAL BOARD
COMPLAINT ABOUT SPRING 2007
3. Second formal level (Appeal) FIRST DISTRICT
COURT OF APPEAL Prejudicial error
ruled reviewed by SUPREME COURT 5/08
4. Third formal level SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
Appeal PENDING (SPRING 2008)

E. Is the last level to which you appealed the highest level of appeal available to you?

YES (☒) NO ()

F. If you did not present your claim for review through the grievance procedure, explain why. BECAUSE THIS CLAIM DID NOT HAPPEN
AT NAPA STATE HOSPITAL it happened
IN MENDOCINO COUNTY

II. Parties.

A. Write your name and your present address. Do the same for additional plaintiffs, if any.

VINCENT ROSENBAUM
2100 NAPA VALLEJO HIGHWAY
NAPA, CALIFORNIA 94558

B. Write the full name of each defendant, his or her official position, and his or her place of employment.

DOCTOR ROSEFF - DOCTOR MENDOCINO MENTAL Health

1 THOMAS ALLMAN-SHERIFF OF MENDOCINO COUNTY
 2 ED FOULK-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF
 3 NAPA STATE HOSPITAL

4
 5 III. Statement of Claim.

6 State here as briefly as possible the facts of your case. Be sure to describe how each
 7 defendant is involved and to include dates, when possible. Do not give any legal arguments or
 8 cite any cases or statutes. If you have more than one claim, each claim should be set forth in a
 9 separate numbered paragraph.

10 1) DOCTOR ROSOFF WROTE A REPORT FOR MENDOCINO
 11 SUPERIOR COURT ON OR ABOUT 11/06/06 AND IN
 12 THIS REPORT DOCTOR ROSOFF COMMITTED
 13 PERJURY MULTIPLE TIMES MAYBE AS MANY
 14 AS 20-30 COUNTS TO ILLEGALLY IMPRISON
 15 ME. (2) ONE SUCH COUNT IS HE STATED I
 16 WORKED AT WINDSOR GOLF COURSE IN HIS
 17 11/6/06 REPORT, NOT TRUE A LIE!
 18 3) I FILED A COMPLAINT WITH THE
 19 STATE MEDICAL BOARD
 20 4) DUE TO THREATS BY UKRAINE POLICE TO
 21 KILL MY FAMILY MEMBERS I WISH
 22 TO FILE UNDER U.S.C. 281915(g)

23 IV. Relief.

24 Your complaint cannot go forward unless you request specific relief. State briefly exactly
 25 what you want the court to do for you. Make no legal arguments; cite no cases or statutes.

26 RELEASE ME FROM ILLEGAL CUSTODY
 27 HELP ME WITH VICTIM/WITNESS RELOCATION
 28 AND RETRIBUTION FOR DAMAGES

1 RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST MENDOCINO POLICE
2 HELP WITH RE-TRAINING (EDUCATION)
3 I ASK FOR A SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF
4 \$5 MILLION DOLLARS FOR DAMAGES!

5 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

6
7 Signed this 1 day of JUNE, 20 08

8
9 Vincent Rose Balm

10 (Plaintiff's signature)